



Education of International Newly Arrived Migrant pupils

E. Le Pichon¹, S. Baauw¹, R. v. Erning¹, R. Pulinx², J. de Mets², F. Dervin³, A. Simpson³

^{1.}Utrecht University ^{2.}University of Ghent ^{3.}University of Helsinki

1. Abstract

EDINA (Sept. 2015- Aug. 2018) brings together policy makers, schools and researchers from **Finland** (**Helsinki**), **Belgium** (**Ghent**) and **The Netherlands** (**Rotterdam and Utrecht**). The three Member States share:

- a sudden rise in the flow of Newly Arrived Migrant pupilS (NAMS):
- the same clear focus: to improve integration by school education for newly arrived migrant pupils;
- education for children and adolescents regardless of their residential status;

However, criteria regarding:

- 1. reception, duration of special schooling, transition to regular or to secondary school;
- 2. constitution of learning environments;
- 3. instructional background of teachers

greatly vary within and between the countries.

3. Some insights into the country reports

Mobility of the pupils

In Finland, refugees move 1-2 times a year, in The Netherlands, 5-7 times and in Flanders 1-2 times a year Goal → Reduce mobility/ organize follow up education

Reception Duration Transition into regular education In Finland, duration of reception class is 1 year max. and the schools are working through faster integration into regular educ.

In The Netherlands, 1 a 2 years of reception classroom; Criteria on the reception and transition vary per school (division by age or level of Dutch proficiency) In Flanders, 1 a 2 years of reception classrooms in prim. educ. & 1 year in sec. educ.

Goal → Encourage faster integration into regular classroom, foster support based on age division

Constitution of learning environments

In Finland, prim. & sec. educ. provide extra support and flexible programs in regular class **or** additional reception program in separate class. Home-school cooperation in emphasized, instruction in Finnish/Swedish L2 is provided. Instruction in L1s is possible but extracurricular;

In the Netherlands, prim. & sec. educ. provide additional reception program in separate class with indiv. work plan & learning pathway; no education in L1's; attention to own culture is emerging;

In Flanders, prim. educ. provides extra support and flexible or additional reception program in separate class; Instruction in L1s is possible but extra-curricular. In Sec. educ., NAMS are included in reception class with indiv. work plan & learning pathway;

Goal → Provide differentiation tools allowing flexible education taking biographical backgrounds into account;

Instructio nal backgrou nd of teachers The teachers: Finnish L1 teachers. Multiculturalism & societal participation are some of the core values of the teacher educ.; strong connection between schools and the Univ. of Helsinki. Punctual trainings organized. In Flanders and The Netherlands, Dutch L1 teachers without any particular requirements. Punctual trainings organized. In Flanders, strong connection between the "Centre for diversity and learning" and schools. Goal → Reinforce interdisciplinary cooperation, peer feedback & instruction of teachers based on social justice

2. Objective:

5. Ultimate Goal: to improve the prospects of this group of pupils

Provide support to
 municipalities, schools and
teachers in the reception and the
integration into the school
system



2. Identifying essential teachers competences



3. Detecting effective approaches and successful strategies for transition



3. Some insights into the country reports

Financial support

In Finland, 6 years of support per pupil; In The Netherlands, 1 year in primary educ. & 2 years in secondary educ. attributed per school with a min. of 4 NAMS. In Flanders, teaching periods are added in prim. educ.& teacher hours in sec. educ. + a possible allowance per NAM per school with a min. of 4 to 6 NAMS Goal → Provide policy makers with insights into best practices regarding financial support of migrant education

4. Expected results

- 1. Differentiation tool (Univ. of Ghent)
- 2. Teachers competencies tool (Univ. of Helsinki)
- 3. Reception, transition and evaluation tool (Utrecht Univ.) Based on scenarios: structure of comparison and differentiation to construct a set cases along the biography of NAMS.
- Next steps
 - Implementation of the tools in the three cities (2016-2017)
 - Evaluation of the tools and adjustment (2017)
 - Dissemination (2018)

5. Take home message

- Complex subject and task
- (re-)problematising integration and contributing to critical debates in our cities about NAMS
- More than an addition of results, **EDINA** is an ongoing process acting at three levels: Policy, Education & Research
- Next steps:
 - 2nd national policy group
 - 2nd national project group
 - 3rd international Steering group meeting in Ghent

We thank the schools, teachers, pupils, school boards, parents, municipalities for their enthusiastic participation in this project!